IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 355 OF 2006

Zafura Khanam Wd/o Gulam R.A. Khan.] ..Petitioner

V/s.

1. Mumbai Housing & Area Development Board & 5 ors.] ..Respondents

Mr. M.P. Vashi i/b M/s. M.P. Vashi & Associates for the petitioner.

Mr. R.M. Sawant, Govt. Pleader for the respondent No.1.

Mr. A.K. Singh for respondent No.2.

Mr. S.G. Surana for applicant.

Mr. Utpal Thakkar i/b Uttangale & Co. for respondent No.5.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO,
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED : 12TH APRIL, 2006

P.C.

. There appears to be some dispute as to the area where the SRA Scheme has been sanctioned. Petitioner has not identified the area of 5000 square meters which they claim to be in their possession out of the larger plot area. *Prima facie,* there is some documentary evidence to indicate that those 5000 square meters were not acquired.

2. On the other hand, on behalf of respondent No.1 it is set out that the Scheme has been sanctioned for the area which is acquired. There is no Plan also to indicate which is the area for which the Scheme has been sanctioned.

3. Petitioner and the respondent No.1 to file Plan respectively in terms of what is set out in this order.

4. S.O. to 24th April, 2006.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO,J.]

Sd/-
[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]